POWER OF ATTORNEY

SPOT	TWARE TECHNOLOGIES	<u>, INC.,</u> Assignee(s) of the application for U	nited States	Letters Patent for						
	Method a	nd Apparatus for Providing Information in	Video Trans	itions						
		(Title)								
by		Gary E. Sullivan and Kim C. Smith (Inventors)								
	X executed on the		n Declaration	and Assignment therein, or						
		_ executed on the date(s) as indicated on the corresponding Declaration and Assignment therein, or having Serial No, filed,								
of sub	by of the Assignment of whi	ich is attached hereto, do(es) hereby appo o prosecute this application and transact a								
	Mark S. Walker, Reg. No. 30, Anthony Claiborne, Reg. No. Joseph H. Lee, Reg. No. 37,6 Sean P. Suiter, Reg. No. 34,2 Scott C. Rand, Reg. No. 40,3 Kenneth J. Cool, Reg. No. 40 Kevin E. West, Reg. No. 43,9 William J. Breen, III, Reg. No	39,636 664 260 59 ,570 83								
Addres	ss correspondence to:	Suiter & Associates PC Attention: Sean P. Suiter 11516 Nicholas Street, Suite 205 Omaha, NE 68154-4409 Telephone: (402) 496-0300 Facsimile: (402) 496-0333								
above Office all do identifiall sta made impris	e-identified Assignee is the for recordation concurrent ocuments in the chain of tified Assignee, and I furthe atements made on informate with the knowledge the sonment, or both, under second the control of the contr	I am empowered to execute this Power of a owner of this application by reason of artitly herewith. In accordance with 37 CFR tile, and to the best of my knowledge, all redeclare that all statements made herein ation and belief are believed to be true; at willful false statements and the like section 1001 of Title 18 of the United Syalidity of the application or any patent issue.	n assignmen § 3.373(b), I right, title, a of my own I and further, so made states Code,	It being filed with the Patent certify that I have reviewed and interest is in the above-knowledge are true and that that these statements were are punishable by fine or and that such willful false						
	Full Name of Assignee	SPOTWARE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.								
	Post Office Address	4545 Towne Centre Court, San Diego, CA 92121-3030								
	Signature of Declarant or Assignee	menharm.		Date ILMAZOOO						
	Full Name of Declarant If Other Than Assignee	Mark S. Walker, Reg. No. 30,669								
	Title of Declarant	Assistant Secretary								
	Address of Declarant 4545 Towne Centre Court San Diego, CA 92121-3030									

Attorney Docket: 98-1160

DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint

				ter which is claimed and for whic Information in Video Transitions		is sought
the specificatio	n of whi	ch				
(Check One): X is attached he was filed on Application Se						as
the claims, as a which is materi 1.56 printed on States Code § identified below	amende al to the the rev 119 of v any fo	ave reviewed a d by any amen patentability of erse side of thi any foreign ap	and understand the codument(s) referred to all this application in acc s Declaration. I hereb plication(s) for patent on for patent or invent	ntents of the above-identified sp bove. I acknowledge the duty to ordance with Title 37, Code of Fe y claim foreign priority benefits u or inventor's certificate listed b or's certificate having a filing da	ecification, disclose in ederal Regunder Title 3 elow and I	nformation ulations, § 35, United have also
Application No.		Country			riority Claimed Yes No	
	None					
below and, ins United States a acknowledge th	ofar as application ne duty to between	the subject ma on in the mann to disclose mate	atter of each of the cla er provided by the firs erial information as def	ode, § 120 of any United States aims of this application is not dit paragraph of Title 35, United Sfined in Title 37, Code of Federal on and the national or PCT interr	isclosed in tates Code Regulatior	the prior e, § 112, I ns, § 1.56
Application No.		Date of Filing	Filing Status-Patented, Pending or Abandone		ed	
No	one					

APPLICABLE STATUTES & RULES

37 CFR 1.56: DUTY TO DISCL SE INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material and the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by ss 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

 (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

 - the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office
 - Under this section information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (b)
 - It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - - Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - Asserting an argument of patentability. (ii)

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

 (1) Each inventor named in the application;

 - (2) (3) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application. inventor, with
- Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor, (d)

35 U.S.C. 102: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless--

- the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
 - he has abandoned the invention, or
- the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in (d) a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for (e) patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
 (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S. C. 103: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negative by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

35 U.S.C. 119: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN FOREIGN COUNTY; RIGHT OF PRIORITY (Applicable Portion)

An application for patent for an invention filed in this country by any person who has, or whose legal representatives or assigns have, previously regularly filed an application for a patent for the same invention in a foreign county which affords similar privileges in the case of applications filed in the United States or to citizens of the United States, shall have the same effect as the same application would have if filed in this county on the date on which the application for patent for the same invention was first filed in such foreign county, if the application in this county is filed within twelve months from the earliest date on which such foreign application was filed; but no patent shall be granted on any application for a patent for an invention which has been patented or described in a printed publication in any country more than one year before the date of the actual filing of the application in this country, or which had been in public use or on sale in this country more than one year prior to such filing.

35 U.S.C. 120: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN THE UNITED STATES

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, by the same invention shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application.

35 U.S.C. 112: SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The Specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the making and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enabler any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctive claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SIGNATURE(S)

Full name of first inventor: Gary E. Sullivan							
Inventor's signature							
Date	Country of Citizenship <u>USA</u>						
Residence Mansfield, TX							
Post Office Address 1511 Stratford Drive, Mansfield, TX 76063							
Full name of second joint inventor: Kim C. Smith							
Inventor's signature // // // // // // // // // // // // //	\(\)						
Date March 15, 2000	Country of Citizenship <u>USA</u>						
Residence Colleyville, TX							
Post Office Address 4012 Ramsgate Court, Colleyville, TX 76034							
Full name of third joint inventor							
Inventor's signature							
Date	Country of Citizenship						
Residence							
Post Office Address							